Statistical and Sequential Learning for Time Series Forecasting

Expert online aggregation



Margaux Brégère

Introduction Framework Regret Algorithms EWA Gradient trick Exponential Gradient BOA MLPol In practice

Introduction

Framework

Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ be a time series

Assumption: at a time step t = 1, 2, 3, ...

- Observe the data with a delay d: Y_{t-d}

Aim

 $\mathbb{R} \text{Aggregation } \hat{Y}_t = \hat{f}(f_{1t}, \dots, f_{Kt}) = \sum \omega_{k,t} f_{kt}$ k=1

Forecast evaluation:

• Receive K predictions f_{1t} , ..., f_{Kt} from expert advice / (deterministic or statistic) models

Providing the best possible forecast \hat{Y}_t of the future realisation of Y by mixing the predictions

On a testing dataset $\{Y_t, f_{1t}, \dots, f_{Kt}\}_{t=1,\dots,T}$ and a loss function ℓ , we aim to minimise $\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell(Y_t, \hat{Y}_t)$

Illustration



References

- Hannan(1957) and Blackwell et al. (1956) in a game theory framework
- Littlestone and Warmuth (1994) and Vovk (1990) in a statistical learning framework
- Cesa-Bianchi et al. (1997), Freund et al. (1997) and Vovk (1998) for theoretical results
- Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi (2006) for a review
- Goude (2008) and Gaillard (2015) PhDs for an application to electricity consumption forecasting and the development of the « opera » package (in R and Python)



Regret

To assess the quality of the final forecast, a benchmark is needed!

We could look directly at the performance of \hat{Y}_t , but that wouldn't make much sense: • if all the experts are bad, the mixture of forecasts will has poor performance, whereas it's possible that the aggregation performs well (that the mixture is better than each forecast) • Conversely, if all the forecasts are good, it is highly likely that whatever the mix, it will be

- good

We need:

- a set for the weights ω_{kt} (the simplex of K-dimension for exemple)

Regret:
$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathscr{C}\left(Y_t, \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{kt} f_{kt}\right) - \min_{s \in S} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathscr{C}\left(Y_t, \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{kt}(s) f_{kt}\right)$$

• a set S of strategies to compare ourselves (the set of constant strategies for example)



Examples

Regret regarding the best expert:

$$R_{T} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathscr{C}\left(Y_{t}, \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{kt} f_{kt}\right) - \min_{k=1,...,K} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathscr{C}\left(Y_{t}, f_{kt}\right)$$

Regret regarding the best constant convex combination of experts:

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell\left(Y_t, \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{kt} f_{kt}\right) - \min_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_K} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell\left(Y_t, \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k f_{kt}\right)$$

with $\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k = 1$ and $\forall k = 1, \dots, K$, $\omega_k \in [0, 1]$

Question: What kind of regret should our strategy have?

Clue: What is the regret of a dumb strategy?

Regret bounds

If the loss function is bounded (true as soon as Y_t is too), the regret is at most proportional to T

 \rightarrow our strategy should satisfy

 $\lim_{T \to \infty} f_{1,1,\dots}$

So as time goes by, we get closer to the strategy we're comparing ourselves to, or even better: we beat it!

$$\sup_{f_{k,t},\ldots,f_{K,T}}\frac{R_T}{T}\to 0$$

Algorithms

Exponentially Weighted Aggregation (EWA)

Parameter: $\eta > 0$ Initialisation:

• $\forall k = 1, ..., K$, $\omega_{k1} = \frac{1}{K}$ (uniform weights) • Prediction: $\hat{Y}_1 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{k1}$ (empirical mean)

For t = 2, ..., T

• Weight updates: $\forall k = 1, ..., K$, $\omega_k = \frac{cx_k}{\sum_{j=1}^{K}}$

• Prediction:
$$\hat{Y}_t = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{kt} f_{kt}$$
 (empirical mean)

$$xp(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(Y_s, f_{ks}))$$

$$= 1 exp(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(Y_s, f_{js}))$$

EWA regret bound (Stoltz, 2010)

Assumptions:

- Loss function $\ell : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,M]$ is bounded
- $\forall Y, \ell(Y, \cdot)$ is convex

Then, for any $\eta > 0$

How to choose η ?

 $\sup_{f_{1,1},\dots,f_{k,t},\dots,f_{K,T}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{I} \ell\left(Y_{t}, \hat{Y}_{t}^{\text{EWA}}\right) - \min_{k=1,\dots,K} \ell(Y_{t}, f_{kt}) \right) \leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta} + \frac{\eta M^{2}}{8} T$

EWA regret bound (Stoltz, 2010)

Assumptions:

- Loss function $\ell : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,M]$ is bounded
- $\forall Y, \ell(Y, \cdot)$ is convex

Then, for any $\eta > 0$

$$\sup_{f_{1,1},\ldots,f_{k,t},\ldots,f_{K,T}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathscr{C}(Y_t, \hat{Y}_t^{\text{EWA}})\right)$$

With $\eta = \frac{1}{M} \sqrt{\frac{8 \ln K}{T}}$, we get $R_T = \mathcal{O}\left(M \sqrt{\frac{T}{2 \ln K}}\right)$

$^{'A}) - \min_{k=1,\ldots,K} \mathscr{C}(Y_t, f_{kt}) \right) \leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta} + \frac{\eta M^2}{8} T$



EWA with Gradient Trick = Exponential Gradient

Parameter: $\eta > 0$ Initialisation:

• $\forall k = 1, ..., K$, $\omega_{k1} = \frac{1}{K}$ (uniform weights) • Prediction: $\hat{Y}_1 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{k1}$ (empirical mean)

For t = 2, ..., T

• Prediction:
$$\hat{Y}_t = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{kt} f_{kt}$$
 (empirical mean)

• Weight updates: $\forall k = 1, ..., K$, $\omega_k = \frac{\exp\left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \partial \ell(Y_s, \hat{Y}_s) \cdot f_{ks}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \partial \ell(Y_s, \hat{Y}_s) \cdot f_{js}\right)}$

Exponential Gradient (EG) - L2

$$\forall k = 1, \dots, K, \quad \omega_k = \frac{\exp\left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} 2(\hat{Y}_s - Y_s) f_{ks}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} 2(\hat{Y}_s - Y_s) f_{js}\right)}$$

Intuition:

- If $\hat{Y}_s > Y_s$, experts who forecast the lowest values are at an advantage
- If $\hat{Y}_{s} < Y_{s}$, experts who forecast the highest values are at an advantage

In practice

How do you choose experts?

How do you choose experts?

Encouraging diversity!

Encouraging diversity!

- \rightarrow Train models using a variety of data:
 - Estimation periods
 - Input variables / features
 - Spatial / Temporal resolution
- → Consider various methods:
 - Linear models
 - Ensemble models
 - Neural networks models
 - Deliberately biased models, ...
- → Consider various loss functions:
 - L2
 - L1

• Multiple quantile loss (so The variable to be forecast is in the convex envelope of the experts' forecasts)...



That's all folks!